

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office "Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY	·
09/965,685	09/27/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,083		Satoshi Hasegawa	9319S-000287	2845
27572 7590 05/26/2004 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER DI GRAZIO, JEANNË A	
•			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- - 	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/965,685	HASEGAWA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871 / 20				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply original set in the statutory period for reply original set in the statutory period for reply or set in the statutory period for reply original set in the statutory period for t	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action or (2) see of farth in				
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the p	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) appropriate and appropriate propriate and appropriate propriate p						
	SUPER TEC	RUMEN H. KIM RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended independent claims 5 and 9 to include the limitations of second and third pitches of meshes." which enhance a holding-ability-of-said-coating-liquid." With respect to Applicant's response after final rejection, Applicant argues that "[t]he subject matter of Amended claims 5 and 9 was previously submitted and discussed in the Response filed on November 4, 2003. Accordingly, the amendments do not raise new issues and should be entered." (After Final Response, May 14, 2004 at page 6 of 10). However, this is not accurate. First, Applicant commented about enhanced coating ability with respect to the prior art Evans reference and not with respect to Applicant's claims. Second, Applicant commented about enhanced coating ability with respect to meshes AND a projection on the letter press (Amendment of November 4, 2003 at page 10 of 12). Applicant then commented on enhanced coating ability with respect to the projections alone (Id.). Nowhere in Applicant's Amendment of November 4, 2003 did Applicant claim, suggest, specify, or otherwise discuss enhanced coating ability with respect to second and third pitches of meshes as now presently claimed. Thus, the new limitations of a second and third pitch of meshes which enhance a holding ability of a coating liquid will require further search and consideration by the Examiner to determine patentability of the presently claimed subject matter.